



Af/#11/AMOT E/NE,  
Can 1/27/00  
B.N.

PATENT  
D-6524A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :  
JEFFREY L. PARKER, et al. :  
Serial No: 09/003,325 : Group Art Unit: 2712  
Filed: January 6, 1998 : Examiner: J. Wilson  
For: MULTI-USER CAMERA CONTROL :  
SYSTEM AND METHOD

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service  
with sufficient postage as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231, on

Jan. 14, 2000  
Arthur D. Yeager

RECEIVED  
JAN 21 2000  
TC 2700 MAIL ROOM

AMENDMENT

In response to the Office Action dated 10/14/99, please cancel Claim 12.

REMARKS

The courtesy of the Examiners during the telephone interviews is acknowledged.

1-3. With regard to the rejection of Claims 12, 51-57, 58-79, and 83-108 as allegedly being obvious with respect to Fabris and Parker '296, claim 12 has been cancelled.

With regard to Claim 51, the Examiner concedes that "Fabris does not show remote controllers to remember the field of view", page 3, lines 6-7. Moreover, Fabris does not disclose or suggest the use of remote controllers to accomplish any of the features his disclosed teleconferencing system. Applicants disagree that the touch screen of Fabris is a "remote controller" in the manner used in the present invention. Furthermore, even if the touch screen of Fabris can be considered a "remote controller" it surely is not movable independently of said automatic control system and said at least one camera by a user to a selected location: as recited in Claim 51 amended.

With respect to Parker '296, it is not obvious to employ movable remote control devices in the system of Fabris. Indeed, the system of Fabris would not be operable if a user moved away from the touch screen which itself is not movable. The Fabris invention is specifically designed to

1/28/00  
R